

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,365	11/01/2001	James Lynn Baratuci	TRUSP0105USA	7294	
6449	7590 12/23/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W.			KATCHEVES, BASIL S		
SUITE 800	EEI, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3635		
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

14	Application No.	Applicant(s)	~K			
	10/004,365	BARATUCI ET AL.	9			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Basil Katcheves	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a RBANDONK	mety filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Sc	eptember 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 17-32 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers`						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 November 2001</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			•			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	. ,,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.				
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 121604				

Application/Control Number: 10/004,365

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 11, 14, 33, 34, 37-44, 48, 49, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,349,988 to Walsh et al.

Regarding claims 1, 11 and 38, Walsh discloses a flexible hollow spacer (fig. 1: 30) having a repeating pattern along the longitudinal axis, and an adhesive sealant (column 5, lines 1-6).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 39 and 40, Walsh discloses the spacer as having a varying cross sectional area.

Regarding claims 4 and 41, Walsh discloses the spacer as being a tube.

Regarding claims 5 and 42, Walsh discloses the use of a vapor barrier (fig. 2: 14) joined to the adhesive sealant.

Regarding claim 6 and 43, Walsh discloses the tube as having at least two opposing sides (fig. 1: see left side, right side not in shown).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 44, Walsh discloses the assembly as being inherently coilable.

Regarding claims 33 and 48, Walsh discloses ribs on the tube which surround the spacer axis.

Art Unit: 3635

Regarding claims 34 and 49, Walsh discloses the tube as having an outer portion which lacks ribs (fig. 4: 38).

Regarding claims 37, 52 and 53 Walsh discloses the ribs as having a varying thickness (fig. 1: see end rib 30 thinner than ribs 20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10, 15, 16 and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,349,988 to Walsh et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,487,707 to Holzknecht.

Regarding claims 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 45, 46 and 47, Walsh discloses a moisture resistant layer and the need to prevent the ingress of moisture (abstract) but does not specifically disclose the use of a desiccant layer. Holzknecht discloses a refrigeration tube using a desiccant layer (fig. 3: 36). It would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 3635

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Walsh by using a dessicant layer adjacent to the tube in order to prevent the flow of moisture.

Claims 12, 13, 35, 36, 50 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,349,988 to Walsh et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,799,703 to Kanao et al.

Regarding claims 12, 35, 36, 50 and 51, Walsh discloses the basic claim limitations of the instant application but does not disclose the use of rectangular tubing. Kanao discloses the use of rectangular tubing (fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Walsh by using rectangular tubing as disclosed by Kanao, in order to fit better between particaluar surface and through grommets.

Regarding claim 13, Walsh discloses the tube as being ribbed on a first surface (28) a second surface (30) and an exterior surface (20).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17-32 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/24/04 are most under new grounds of rejections.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,365

Art Unit: 3635

Conclusion

Page 5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited patents listed on the included form PTO-892 further show the state of the art with respect to spacer tubes in general.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (703) 306-0232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (703) 308-0832.

BK

Sasil Katcheves

12/16/04

Examiner, AU 3635